

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•					
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/077,612	02/15/2002	Kolazi S. Narayanan	FDN-2717	2875		
7	590 05/09/2003		·			
	J. Davis, Esq.	EXAMINER				
INTERNATIONAL SPECIALTY PRODUCTS Legal Department, Building No. 10			LEVY, NEIL S			
1361 Alps Roa Wayne, NJ 07			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1616 .			
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003	L		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	10	Application No	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10	0/1	5/ _	1V/	FRAYAN,	AN eta	
		Examiner	26	ou	Group Art Unit	9	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears	on the cover	sheet b	eneath the c	orrespondence a	ddroce_	
P riod f r Reply			1		on copenacion a	101 C33	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO	EXPIRE		MONTH(S) FROM THE MAII	LING DATE "	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, be Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period. 	days, a reply	y within the statut	ory minimi	um of thirty (30)	days will be considere	ed timely.	
Status					MED (00 0.0.0. 5 .0.	3).	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
This action is FINAL.					•	•	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quaj 	except for	r formal matter C.D. 1 1; 453 C	s, prose).G. 213.	cution as to	the merits is clos	ed in	
Disp sition of Claims							
$\sqrt{\frac{1-27}{1-27}}$		_		io/ara n	40 - t- 46-a1	• •	
Of the above claim(s)				ic/are w	ending in the appli	cation.	
				io/one el	llat	sideration.	
□ Claim(s)				is/aro ro	rioato d		
Claim(s)							
$\bigcirc \text{Claim(s)} = 1 - 27$				13/416 UL	ojected to.		
Applicati n Papers		·		requiren	ect to restriction of nent.	election	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent [Drawing Re	eview. PTO-94	A.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		is □appro	oved \square	disapproved.			
is/are	∍ objected f	to by the Exam	niner.	en and the control of			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pric □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copi □ received. 	ority under lies of the p	35 U.S.C. § 11 priority docume	∣9(a)-(d) ents have). e been			
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	Number)_				٠,	· /*	
☐ received in this national stage application from the stage application from the stage.	he Internati	ional Bureau (I	PCT Ruk	e 1 7.2(a)).	 •	<i>/-</i>	
*Certified copies not received:							
Attachment(s)					*		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pa	per No(s).		□Inter	rview Summar	n/ PTO-413		
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892					Patent Application	DTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	ГО-948						
Patent and Trademark Office	Office Acti	ion Summary					

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No._____

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, are drawn to a carrier, classified in class 514, subclass 568+.
- Claims 7-21, are drawn to a concentrate, classified in class 424, subclass
 405.
- III. Claims 23-27, are drawn to use composition, classified in class 514, subclass 637.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

The composition of I, II, III are independent and patentably distinct as I carrier does not require biocides, and could be used with perfumes, while the concentrate can be used independently of the use composition, and the use composition is dependent on the actives, not required in the concentrate or carrier.

The Groups have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, the search for any 1 group is not required for any other Group, and a search and examination of the entire application would place an undue burden on the Examiner, the present restriction requirement is proper for examination purposes.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: ultimate species of antigel: Ca o, Mgo.....epoxided vegetable oil, or the epoxy ester of a specific aliphatrc acid.

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-18, 22-27 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 1-27 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising species of oil. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Art Unit: 1616

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of carbodiimide.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-9, 12-27 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1616

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of biocide.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 7-27 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/077,612

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1616

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See M.P.E.P. Sec. 812.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is 308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday- Friday 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 308-4556 for regular communications and 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

DRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6